

Geospatial Metadata

Metadata Standards

TOM VOUGHT – MSDIS
VOUGHTTH@MISSOURI.EDU

September 17, 2019



Does your organization already have a written metadata standard that you, or your employees, need to follow?

For managers...

Are you happy with the metadata standard that your organization uses?

What does it do well for your organization?

What does it do poorly?

Are you actively considering changing to a different standard?

Have you spoken to your colleagues about the standards that other organizations use?

What are metadata standards

Metadata standards were designed to ensure that every organization that needs to write geospatial metadata doesn't rely on its own custom set of requirements, fields, formats, etc.

The existing metadata standards provide guidelines for what information should be included in geospatial metadata.

There are two primary metadata standards regularly used by GIS professionals – the FGDC CSDGM standard and the ISO 19115 standard.

What are metadata standards – FGDC CSDGM

The Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) originally endorsed the Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata (CSDGM) standard.

The FGDC CSDGM was the primary content standard for geospatial metadata for many federal, state, and local agencies until the advent of the ISO 19115 standard.

While the FGDC CSDGM standard is still viable, the FGDC itself now recommends the ISO 19115 standard.

What are metadata standards – FGDC CSDGM

Directly from the FGDC.gov website:

“Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata (CSDGM), Vers. 2 (FGDC-STD-001-1998) is the current version of this FGDC authored and endorsed standard. Executive Order 12906*, directed that Federal agencies document geospatial resources using this standard. **Since the publication of EO 12906, the FGDC has endorsed several ISO Geospatial Metadata Standards that are now encouraged for use.**

What are metadata standards – FGDC CSDGM

*Executive Order 12906: COORDINATING GEOGRAPHIC DATA ACQUISITION AND ACCESS: THE NATIONAL SPATIAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE

Published, 13 April 1994

Signed by President Bill Clinton, 11 April 1994

Amended by President George W Bush with EO 13286, 05 March 2003

Launched the initiative that would create the NSDI

What are metadata standards – ISO 19115

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) authored its own geographic metadata standard to establish an internationally recognized set of guidelines.

Like the FGDC CSDGM, the ISO 19115 standard has undergone several revisions, the most recent of which is the ISO 19115:2014, published April 2014

By design, the ISO standard combines different pieces of other organizational standards (including the FGDC CSDGM) to make a more robust, more flexible metadata standard that generally meets the needs of most GIS users and organizations.

What are metadata standards – FGDC vs ISO

Both standards are perfectly acceptable for use by GIS professionals.

Certainly, ANY widely acknowledged standard is better than no metadata, or some kind of brief text document written at the last moment.

HOWEVER... the existence of two widely known standards is the source of some confusion. For example, in 2002, the MGISAC specifically recommended that FGDC CSDGM should be adopted as the metadata standard for the state, one year before the ISO standard was first published.

What are metadata standards – FGDC vs ISO

So what should Missouri GIS professionals do?

Most, if not all, of us have used the FGDC CSDGM standard for years.

Certainly most of the metadata of our older datasets, if they use any standard at all, more closely comply with FGDC standards than ISO.

But where should we focus our efforts if even the FGDC has recommended adoption of ISO metadata elements?

What is the appeal of the ISO Standard?

Because of its hybrid nature, the ISO 19115 standard is, or can be:

applicable to a broad range of geospatial data resource types

flexible and easily customized

modular and efficient

standardized across communities

What is the appeal of the ISO Standard?

The ISO 19115 standard **REQUIRES** fewer mandatory elements and fields than the FGDC CSDGM standard, meaning that, if using only the minimum requirements, it is faster and easier to complete.

The ISO 19115 standard **INCLUDES** extra optional elements, meaning that, an organization can generate metadata that is more informative than had they used FGDC CSDGM.

What is the appeal of the ISO Standard?

“The North American Profile of ISO 19115:2003 is a regional profile that modifies ISO 19115:2003. One of its roles is to add values to ISO 19115:2003 codelists that correspond with element values defined in the CSDGM. **The CSDGM is based on typing content into a textual document, and suggests standardized values in some elements such as audio when describing a resource's format—possibly for a recording of wildlife sounds. ISO 19115:2003 incorporates the idea of controlled vocabularies, where a value must be selected from a predefined codelist.** The ISO metadata codelist for describing an item's format doesn't have a value for audio resources. The North American Profile adds values to various ISO codelists to ease the transition between the two content standards, including adding values to the format codelist for audio resources.”

-<http://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/latest/manage-data/metadata/support-for-iso-metadata-standards.htm>

What is the appeal of the ISO Standard?

The ISO 19115 standard also introduced the 19 topic categories that are now commonly used to search geospatial metadata.

These 19 subject headings facilitate high-level/concept data searches

Farming
Biota
Boundaries
climatologyMeteorologyAtmosphere
Economy
Environment
geoscientificInformation
Health
imageryBaseMapsEarthCover
intelligenceMilitary
inlandWaters
Location
Oceans
planningCadastre
Society
Structure
Trasnportation
utilitiesCommunication

What is the appeal of the ISO Standard?

ArcCatalog offers multiple different ISO standards for metadata creation, 19115 & 19139.

ISO19139 – “This style allows you to view and edit a complete metadata document that complies with ISO standards 19115 and 19139. When metadata is exported to an ISO 19139-format XML file using other applications in the ArcGIS platform, the resulting file can be validated with versions of the ISO 19139 XML schemas that use an older version of GML.”

-<http://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/help/metadata/create-iso-19115-and-iso-19139-metadata.htm>

Essential Metadata Components Across Standards

ArcCatalog divides metadata into three broad chapters – OVERVIEW, METADATA, and RESOURCE.

Each of these three chapters are, themselves, divided into 4-15 smaller sections.

Within each small section, ArcCatalog will present a series of fillable blanks, radio buttons, and dropdown menus.

A red 'X' will be displayed next to any section that is missing a component required by the selected metadata standard. The incomplete component will also be highlighted pink when viewing the corresponding section.

Which Metadata Standard is the Correct One?

There is no silver bullet answer.

The 'correct' metadata standard for your organization is whichever best meet your needs. Personally, I prefer the additional required components of the FGDC CSDGM standard, but some of those additional elements may not be important for your organizations.

My goal in leading this workshop is not to tell you which standard is the best for your data or to force you to memorize all of the ISO or FGDC fields.

My goal today is to provide you with the tools and insights to fill out NECESSARY information that any GIS professional would find helpful, regardless of standard.

▶ Questions?